

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,143		12/15/2003	Junji Machida	325772026410 9282		
	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Barry E. Br	etschneid	ler	BRASE, SANDRA L			
Morrison &	Foerster L	LP				
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1650 Tysons		d	2852	2852		
McLean, V	A 22102		DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/734,143	MACHIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sandra L. Brase	2852					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ılv 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 16-22 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/924,494.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/734,143 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurokawa et al. (US 5,619,311) in view of Machida et al. (US 5,875,379) and Kasuya et al. (US 5,571,653).
- 4. Kurokawa et al. (...311) disclose an image forming apparatus comprising: an image bearing member (204, 304, 2104, 3103 and 3401); a charger member (101, 2102 and 3101) disposed in contacting relation with the image bearing member, and having a rubber hardness of more than 42 degrees, where the hardness can be in the range of 50 to 80 degrees (abstract; col. 3, line 53 col. 4, line 12; col. 7, line 55 col. 8, line 11; col. 21, line 56 col. 22, line 7; and col. 22, lines 37-39 and 49-51) and a volume electrical resistance of 3 x  $10^8 \Omega$  cm (col. 7, lines

60-61); a developing device (2405, 3105 and 3403) including a developer carrying member for carrying a toner on its surface; and a transfer member (3106 and 3404) for transferring the toner image thus formed on the image bearing member onto a receiving medium. The charging member is a roller and comprises a metallic roller and a surface layer laid over an outer periphery of the roller (abstract; col. 7, lines 55-65; and col. 16, lines 18-23). The charging roller may have a coat layer laid over the elastic layer (abstract; col. 7, lines 55-65; and col. 16, lines 23-29). However, Kurokawa et al. (...311) do not disclose the specific components of a developing device including toner storage and a regulating member, and the specific toner used. Machida et al. (...379) disclose an image forming apparatus including a developing device including a developer carrying member (11) for carrying a toner on its surface, a storage portion for storing the toner (col. 7, lines 35-38), and a regulating member (16) disposed in contacting relation with the surface of the developer carrying member for regulating the amount of toner carried on the developer carrying member (col. 7, lines 43-49; and col. 8, lines 40-49), where the developing device operates to form a toner image on an image bearing member (col. 1, lines 9-12; and col. 3, lines 44-47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the developing device to include the a storage portion and a regulating member that regulates an amount of toner on a developer carrying member, as disclosed by Machida et al. (...379), since it is notoriously well known in the art to have a portion to store toner so that toner can be supplied by the developer carrying member to an image carrier so that a latent image is developed to form a toner image, and it is also notoriously well known in the art to have a regulating member so that a toner amount on a developer carrying member is controlled. Kasuya et al. (...653) disclose a toner used in a developing device containing an

Application/Control Number: 10/734,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 2852

organic aromatic solvent and a vinyl monomer in combined concentrations of not more than 1,000 ppm (abstract; col. 2, line 50 – col. 3, line 15; col. 9, lines 29-36; and Table 2), where it is preferable that the combined concentrations be made not more than 700 ppm, and more preferably not more than 300 ppm (col. 9, lines 29-36). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the claimed type of toner, as disclosed by Kasuya et al. (...653), since such a toner is well known in the art for use with a developing device to develop images and this toner exhibits good fixing efficiency since it does not stick to a fixing member.

## Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, there is motivation to combine Kurokawa et al. (US 5,619,311) with Kasuya et al. (US 5,571,653) since such a toner as disclosed by Kasuya et al. (...653) is well known in the art to develop latent images to form toner images, where this toner also exhibits good fixing efficiency; furthermore, there is nothing contained in the disclose of Kurokawa et al. (...311) that would prevent the use of the developer of Kasuya et al. (...653) in its image forming apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,143 Page 5

Art Unit: 2852

6. Applicant's arguments in regard to the developer storing portion and the developer

regulating member are moot due to the new grounds of rejection.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sandra L. Brase whose telephone number is 571-272-2131. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Arthur T. Grimley, can be reached on 571-272-2136. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sandu L Srose
Sandra L. Brase

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852

September 27, 2004